SS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
SEAN ALEXANDER		1	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			unty of Residence o	of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	dress)				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E	•	,		D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Kimmel & Silverman,		Α	rneys (If Known)			
30 E. Butler Pike		I Au	inicys (ii Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002		_				
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	THE CITES	ENSHIP OF P	DINCIPAL PARTIES.	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
II. DASIS OF JUNIOL	C 11011 (Place an X in One Box Omy)		Diversity Cases Only)	KII(CII ALI I AKTILO	and One Box for Defendant)	
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of		IF DEF I I neorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of	Another State []	2 D 2 Incorporated and I	Principal Place () 5 () 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In	Another State	
				3 G 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
W. MATCHE OF CHI	T at the state of	Foreign	Country			
CONTRACT	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	FORFI	TURE PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATUTES	
[] 110 insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR			☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Med, Malpraetic		er Food & Drug g Related Seizure	① 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
O 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	- c[1	roperty 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment				■ 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
[] [5] Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	(7 650 Aiz	line Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability J 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	CT 660 Oct	cupational cty/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit O 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	CJ 690 Ott	er e		☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal		LABOR Labor Standards	SOCIAL/SECURITY D 861 HIA (1395ff)	S50 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
D 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	e Act		[] 862 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability		or/Mgmt, Relations or/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Tide XVI	12 USC 3410 390 Other Statutory Actions	
O 196 Franchise	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS TO SEE PRISONER PETITIO		isclosure Act Iway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERALTAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts B92 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vaca		er Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	O 442 Employment Sentence Habeas Corpus:		pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act	or Defendant) O 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Preedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations		-	26 USC 7609	Act	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Qi		MIGRATION Unilization Application		900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
Es aranti catal trans trakens	Employment 🖸 550 Civil Rights	□ 463 Ha¹	eas Corpus -		to Justice	
	Other 3555 Prison Condition		n Delainee er Immigration		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
1 1	3 440 Other Civil Rights	Act	ons			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Co						
W. G. HOR OF A COL	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	.ct				
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:					if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Ø Yes O No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
Explanation:		//_				
100	. 2	//)				
1-00-11						
DATE	SIGNATURE O)f attøorne	Y OF RECORD			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.				
Address of Plaintiff: P.O. Box 16657, For Lauderdale FL 33318				
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudentral Road, Horsham, PA 19044				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	,			
(Use Reverse Side For Addit	ional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and a				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Ycs No W			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yesa No Z			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year p	reviously terminated action in this court?			
2. Paratis are forely the control of	Yes D No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit paction in this court?	ending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes□ No[C]			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier number				
terminated action in this court?	Yes No Q			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights ca	se filed by the same individual?			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes O No O			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;			
2. □ FELA	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
	2. Airplane Personal Injury A D A D A D D C D D D D D D D D D D D D			
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation			
4. © Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury			
5. Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	 Other Personal Injury (Please 			
	specify)			
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8. □ Habcas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. PAll other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. \$1692				
(Please specify)	CATION			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)				
I, MUY / US MMC , counsel of record do hereby certify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 57.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belie \$150,000-00 exclusive of interest and costs;	it, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
D Relief other than monetary damages is sough].				
- 1-2011 (wine There to al	ETINO			
DATE:				
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A triande novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.				
DATE: 1-20-11 Craig That Kimme,	_57100			
Atomey-ai-Law CiV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

SEAN ALEXA	NDER	:	CIVIL ACTION	
V.		:		
NCO Financia	1 Systems, In	ij	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendants	se Management Tree a copy on all defe event that a defend shall, with its first a rties, a Case Manage	ack Designation ndants. (See § 1: ant does not ag appearance, subr gement Track De	ction Plan of this court, couns Form in all civil cases at the ti 03 of the plan set forth on the rece with the plaintiff regarding it to the clerk of court and set esignation Form specifying the	me of everse g said rve on
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 2241 th	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security - Cases and Human Services der				()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designat	ed for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for pers	onal injury or pr	operty damage from	()
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse smanagement cases.)	complex and that	need special or i	ntense management by	()
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not	fall into any one	of the other tracks.	90)
1-20-11 Date 215-540-8888 Telephone	Attorney-at- 872788	Kimmel law 2864	Sean Hexander Attorney for Lim mele & reditle	ewi com
т егерионе	PAX Numb	er	E-IVIAII Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

-1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEAN A	LEXANDER,	}	
	Plaintiff		
v.		Case No.:	
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., Defendant) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR) JURY TRIAL	
		j ·	
<u> </u>		_) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)	

COMPLAINT

SEAN ALEXANDER ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

9

18

4.

5.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 33318.

Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

- Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3). 7.
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), 9. and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, 10. heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, 11. which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

- 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. Beginning on or around October of 2010, Defendant, its agents, employees, and servants, engaged in debt collection activities seeking payment from Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant and its employee identified as "Jason", harassed Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 19. Defendant identified the collection account number upon which the call was based as being "10312804".
- 20. Defendant, its employees and servants harassed Plaintiff by making several calls to his home telephone number and work telephone number.
- 21. Plaintiff received phone calls and voice messages from Defendant from the following phone number (800) 897-0308. The undersigned has confirmed that the number belongs to Defendant. *Please see attached audio recording*.
- 22. On one occasion Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's agent "Jason", asking what amounts Plaintiff allegedly owed and the specifics of the alleged debt to which "Jason" became belligerent and refused to give Plaintiff any details.
- 23. Plaintiff terminated the telephone call rather than endure Jason's evasive and semi-hostile demeanor.
 - 24. Though initial correspondence with Defendant occurred in October of 2010,

.

 Plaintiff has still not received a letter notifying him of his rights and privileges under the law, specifically the right to dispute and/or request verification of the alleged debt.

- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff despite the fact that it had no knowledge of its validity.
- 26. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 27. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 28. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services. Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 29. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano

v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 30. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
 - c. Defendant violated § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA, when it caused the Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff;
 - d. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;

- e. Defendant violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- f. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- g. Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt;
- h. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SEAN ALEXANDER, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to
 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

2

.3

this case.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SEAN ALEXANDER, demands a jury trial in

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: 1-20-11

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

By:_

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney III # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (215) 540-8817

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com